

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
TESTAMENTARY & INTESTATE JURISDICTION
TESTAMENTARY SUIT NO. 51 OF 2003.

IN

PETITION NO. 414 OF 2003.

Ms. Sehra K. Gandhi	...	Deceased
Mr. Girish N. Jani.	...	Petitioner/
	...	Pltff.

Vs.

Darayus Bhatena	...	Caveator/
	...	Deft.

Mr. Satish Kamath for the Plaintiff/Petitioner.

Coram : S.K.Shah, J.

Date : March 17, 2005.

P.C.:

1. By Order passed on 16th September, 2004 in Notice of Motion No. 958 of 2004, the Caveat has been discharged. The Suit is therefore converted into the Petition.

. Office to proceed with the Petition for grant of Probate.

....

[S.K.Shah , J.]

BEFORE THE CONSUMER DISPUTES REDRESSAL FORUM
FOR DISTRICT - THANE, AT THANE.

COMPLAINT NO. 287 OF 2003

DIGAMBER R. TALEKAR ... COMPLAINANT.

VS.

CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER, MTNL. ... OPPOSITE PARTY.

R E J O I N D E R

I Shri Digamber R. Talekar, adult, Indian Inhabitant,
the Complainant abovenamed do hereby state on solemn
affirmation as under :-

1. I Say that I have been read over the
affidavit-in-reply of Shri B.K. Cinchbede,
Asstt.General Manager of the opposite party and in
rejoinder thereto, I have to state and submit as under :-

2. I say and submit that it is true and correct
that the complainant is a permanent resident of Mulund
and the above telephone is installed in the Mulund
Area. This being so, at the time of
filing the

aforesaid complaint, was filed before the Consumer Disputes

Redressal Forum, Central Mumbai, District Bombay. I say that while filing the reply, the opponent has taken a plea that the C.R.I. CR. Mumbai,

does not have territorial jurisdiction and therefore, on the reply of the opposite party_____ complaint belongs to CRF Thane and that the matter was placed before the State Commission.

3. As per the directions of the Honourable President of State Consumer Disputes Redressal_____ - Order dated 11.9.2003, f the aforesaid complaint transferred to Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum, Thane at Thane. I say that as per the directions of the Honourable Forum, this Form has got the jurisdiction to entertain and try this complaint.

4. I say that as the aforesaid telephone connection with the Mulund Exchange and that Exchange controlled by the Thane MTNL, Charai Thane. Therefore, General Manager Grade II, Telephone Exchange MTNL Charai, Thane is the necessary party. I say that it is clearly mentioned in para. 2 of the reply that I have no objection to make Chief General Manager, MTNL as a party to the present complaint. I say that no prejudice would

be caused to the opposite party if the Honourable Forum allowed to join the GM, MTNL, Charai Thane as party to the complaint.

5. I, therefore, say that the Application taken out by me for amendment be made absolute.

SOLEMNLY AFFIRMED	]	
AT THANE ON THIS	]	
_____DAY OF	]	
_____, 2005.	]	DEPONENT.